



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2010

---

## **Body size development of captive and free-ranging Leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*)**

Ritz, J ; Hammer, C ; Clauss, Marcus

**Abstract:** The growth and weight development of Leopard tortoise hatchlings (*Geochelone pardalis*) kept at the Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation (AWWP), Qatar, was observed for more than four years, and compared to data in literature for freeranging animals on body weight or carapace measurements. The results document a distinctively faster growth in the captive animals. Indications for the same phenomenon in other tortoise species (Galapagos giant tortoises, *G. nigra*; Spurthighed tortoises, *Testudo graeca*; Desert tortoises, *Gopherus agassizi*) were found in the literature. The cause of the high growth rate most likely is the constant provision with highly digestible food of low fiber content. Increased growth rates are suspected to have negative consequences such as obesity, high mortality, gastrointestinal illnesses, renal diseases, “pyramiding,” fibrous osteodystrophy or metabolic bone disease. The apparently widespread occurrence of high growth rates in intensively managed tortoises underlines how easily ectothermic animals can be oversupplemented with nutrients.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.20273>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-35495>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Ritz, J; Hammer, C; Clauss, Marcus (2010). Body size development of captive and free-ranging Leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*). *Zoo Biology*, 29:517-525.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.20273>

---

**Body size development of captive and free-ranging leopard tortoises (*Geochelone*  
*pardalis*)**

Julia Ritz<sup>1</sup>, Catrin Hammer<sup>2</sup>, Marcus Clauss<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich,  
Winterthurerstrasse 260, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland, mclauss@vetclinics.uzh.ch

<sup>2</sup>Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation, Doha, State of Qatar

\*to whom correspondence should be addressed

Running head: Tortoise growth

---

**Abstract**

The growth and weight development of Leopard tortoise hatchings (*Geochelone pardalis*) kept at the Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation (AWWP), Qatar, was observed for more than four years, and compared to data in literature for free-ranging animals on body weight or carapace measurements. The results document a distinctively faster growth in the captive animals. Indications for the same phenomenon in other tortoise species (Galapagos giant tortoises, *Geochelone nigra*; Spur-thighed tortoises, *Testudo graeca*; Desert tortoises, *Gopherus agassizi*) were found in the literature. The cause of the high growth rate most likely is the constant provision with highly digestible food of low fiber content. Increased growth rates are suspected to have negative consequences such as obesity, high mortality, gastrointestinal illnesses, renal diseases, ‘pyramiding’, fibrous osteodystrophy or metabolic bone disease. The apparently widespread occurrence of high growth rates in intensively managed tortoises underlines how easily ectothermic animals can be oversupplemented with nutrients.

Key words: captive breeding; *Geochelone pardalis*; Leopard tortoise; morphometrics; Testudinae; tortoise growth

---

## Introduction

The Leopard tortoise (*Geochelone pardalis*, Bell 1827), one of the largest mainland tortoise species, ranges from Eastern to Southern Africa (Iverson 1992). Despite its wide distribution, the knowledge about this species, particularly its growth and development, is limited.

Available data from literature is primarily concerned with patterns of the body mass and the body size, correlating weight and length measurements in free-ranging (Lambert 1995, Lambert et al. 1998, Hailey and Coulson 1999, Kabigumila 2000 and 2001) or captive specimens (Wilson 1968, Rall 1988). While such information emphasizes the consistency of these correlations, they offer little guideline for the husbandry of the species, because the most important question for raising tortoises – the correlation of body mass and age – cannot be addressed. The only study providing information about the body mass development with age in Leopard tortoises is by Wilson (1968), who kept animals in confinement but did either not offer food or just supplement some greens in addition to the natural vegetation.

Additionally, Hailey and Coulson (1999) and Hailey and Lambert (2002) presented data on the total length of free-ranging Leopard tortoises in relation to age.

A growth rate exceeding that of natural populations is suspected to occur in many captive and pet tortoises, with potential pathological consequences such as obesity, high mortality, gastrointestinal illnesses, renal diseases, ‘pyramiding’, fibrous osteodystrophy or metabolic bone disease (Häfeli and Schildger 1995, McArthur 2004a, McArthur and Barrows 2004, Donoghue 2006, Hatt 2008). On the one hand, empirical studies on the correlation of fast growth with any of these conditions are lacking; on the other hand, growth curves for natural or captive tortoises that relate age to other parameters are rare. This lack of guidelines induces a component of uncertainty in tortoise husbandry. To our knowledge, the only published data on a comparison of age-related growth in intensively kept and extensively kept, respectively free-ranging herbivorous land tortoise species are on Galapagos giant tortoises (*Geochelone*

---

*nigra*) by Furrer et al. (2004) and on Spur-thighed tortoises (*Testudo graeca*) by Lapid et al. (2005).

In this contribution, we present data on the growth of Leopard tortoises kept at the Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation (AWWP), Doha, State of Qatar, and compare the data to the specimens managed by Wilson (1968) on natural vegetation only and to free-ranging individuals investigated by Hailey and Coulson (1999) and Hailey and Lambert (2002).

## Methods

Leopard tortoises have been kept at AWWP since 1999. Juveniles hatched each year from May to October (between 2002 and 2007). Animals were kept in enclosures with a natural vegetation of grasses and small shrubs with a regular supplement of a variety of vegetables (tomato, carrot, bell pepper, zucchini, cucumber, pumpkin), fruits (melon, papaya, grapes, apple, pear, berries, banana, cactus fruits), fresh lucerne (*Medicago sativa*), fresh grasses, browse (*Ziziphus spina-christis*), flowers and grass hay ad libitum. Absolute amounts ingested, and the nutritional composition of the diet, were unknown. Hatchlings were repeatedly weighed and measured several times per year in varying intervals between 2002 and 2008 (age-groups 2002 to 2006 consisting of 3 to 50 animals). The investigations included totally 109 Leopard tortoises. Faecal samples were taken regularly, most of the cases without parasite findings. In positive cases the treatment of choice was: Fenbendazole (50 mg/kg) for 2 days every other week for three weeks or Praziquantel (8mg/kg) for 1 day every other week for three weeks. The animals included in this study were assessed until two to nearly four and a half years of age. Apart from body mass, measurements included the total length (straight carapace length), the plastron length and the height of the tortoises, measured by callipers (straight measurements), and the curved carapace length and width measured by a soft tape (curved measurements). Data were recorded to the nearest millimeter or the nearest gram, respectively.

---

## Results

The animals of all investigated age-groups showed a regular allometric body form development similar to previously published patterns in the same species (Wilson 1968, Rall 1988, Lambert 1995, Lambert et al. 1998) (Fig. 1). Therefore, the relationship of body proportions and body weight are consequently the same in the captive animals and in the free-living or not artificially fed individuals.

Among the animals raised at AWWP, differences in the body weight development between the different year cohorts are evident (Fig. 2a). In particular, animals hatched in 2002 and one group of animals from 2003 showed a slower body weight increase than the other animals. No difference in husbandry conditions could be elucidated in retrospect to which this difference could be attributed.

When the growth patterns of Leopard tortoises from AWWP are compared to the data from Wilson (1968), Hailey and Coulson (1999) or Hailey and Lambert (2002), a distinctive difference is evident (Fig. 2b). The animals kept at AWWP grew much faster than all the other individuals studied.

## Discussion

The captive population of Leopard tortoises at AWWP showed a dramatically faster growth pattern than conspecifics from the wild or kept in natural enclosures with hardly any food supplementation (Fig. 2). In this respect, the data for this species reveals the same pattern that can also be found in other tortoise species – either in direct comparisons of captive and free-ranging populations, or when data from different publications are combined (Fig. 3). Free-ranging or extensively kept animals always grow much slower than intensively kept individuals. Similarly, McArthur (2004b) had presented qualitative evidence, in the form of

---

113 photographs of two similar-aged Leopard tortoises from the wild and from captivity,  
114 respectively, that documented a faster growth in the captive individual.

115 In tortoises, growth patterns can be influenced by sexual dimorphism, or by environmental  
116 conditions. Growth patterns also vary between different populations of free-ranging  
117 individuals, most likely due to differences in habitat quality (Aresco and Guyer 1999). In the  
118 case of the Leopard tortoise, conflicting results have been published with respect to sexual  
119 dimorphism – some studies found sexual dimorphism in the species, with females being  
120 larger (Lambert et al. 1998, Kabigumila 2000 and 2001, Mason et al. 2000), but another study  
121 did not find evidence for it (Lambert 1995). The discrepancy is most likely explained by  
122 differences in environmental conditions between the study populations, because Hailey and  
123 Coulson (1999) noted differences in the degree of sexual dimorphism in Leopard tortoises  
124 between various geographic areas. Lambert et al. (1998) also remarked that growth varied  
125 geographically, probably due to environmental conditions such as differences in climate,  
126 bushfire incidence, and predation pressure. For example, wet summers may increase activity  
127 and stimulate a higher food intake which leads to faster growth, whereas lower temperatures  
128 in winter reduce the activity, may even cause a hibernation, and thus slow down growth  
129 (Lambert 1995). Of course these seasonal influences also have an effect on quality and  
130 abundance of food. The variation observed in the growth patterns between hatchling cohorts  
131 at AWWP is most likely explained by such (unnoticed) changes in environmental conditions.

132

133 Regardless of these factors that can influence a tortoise's development, the differences  
134 between intensively kept and free-ranging/extensively kept animals (Fig. 2, 3) are of a  
135 dramatically higher magnitude than differences found in the wild due to environmental  
136 conditions or sexual dimorphism. In these cases, it seems obvious that the different living-  
137 conditions of the populations – particularly the food offered to them – influences the growth  
138 considerably. Although direct experimental evidence for this assumption is mostly lacking,

---

other authors also contributed the difference in growth between intensively kept and free-ranging/extensively kept animals to the feeding regime (Jackson et al. 1976 and 1978, Furrer et al. 2004, Lapid et al. 2005). To our knowledge, the only study that provided controlled evidence for an influence of the diet on growth in tortoises is the one by Fledelius et al. (2005), who investigated the influence of calcium supplementation on growth rates in Leopard tortoises. The animals with a daily calcium dose three times higher than recommended had the highest growth rate, whereas individuals receiving less calcium grew slower. A similar effect was reported in soft-shelled turtles (Huang et al. 2003). In other reptiles, differences in growth rate due to differences in the provision with energy and nutrients have been described repeatedly (Statoh et al. 1990, Donoghue 1994, Bear et al. 1997, Donoghue et al. 1998, Madsen and Shine 2000, Rich and Talent 2008). These reports document a considerable potential of reptiles to accelerate or decelerate growth in reaction to the supply of food; we can only presume that this plasticity is more pronounced than in the case of the endotherm mammals or birds in which growth rates are probably less flexible. The combination of small initial body size, dramatically lower energy and nutrient requirements in ectotherms as compared to endotherms, lack of an increase in metabolic rate in growing as compared to adult tortoises (Brown et al. 2005), availability of food in captivity, and habituation to feeding (and consuming) amounts adequate for endotherm maintenance on the side of animal keepers, makes an oversupplementation of food to tortoises likely whenever no special attention is paid to restrict this amount.

In addition to differences in the feeding regime, differences in the load of pathogenes and/or commensals, in particular gastrointestinal parasites, might play a role. In free-ranging tortoises, a variety of parasites have been reported (Jacobson 1994) that will potentially reduce the amount of energy and nutrients available for growth in their hosts. Standard veterinary care for captive individuals, such as the regular parasitic control and treatment in



---

the Leopard tortoises used in this study, could thus also contribute to faster development. To our knowledge, however, this has not yet been investigated in controlled studies.

Whether faster growth rates are actually linked to health problems can, so far, only be speculated upon. Although there appears to be a consensus in this respect in the reptile literature (see Introduction), experimental evidence is lacking. On an anecdotal level, Jackson et al. (1976, 1978) observed not only a dramatically faster growth in their intensively kept Desert tortoises as compared to free-ranging specimens (Fig. 3), but also noted that their animals showed a pyramiding growth pattern. Pyramiding has, so far not been observed in the Leopard tortoises at AWWP. In order to reduce the growth rate of hatchings at AWWP, and presumably contribute to a higher health standard of the following hatching cohorts, vegetables and fruits were excluded as a result of this study at AWWP, so that the current diet only includes the natural enclosure vegetation of grasses and shrubs, and additionally offered grass and lucerne hays. Future years will show whether this change in diet regime will reduce the growth rate of AWWP Leopard tortoises to levels reported in free-ranging specimens.

---

**Conclusions**

1. Intensively managed Leopard tortoise hatchlings showed a faster growth rate (as assessed by the development of body weight or body length over time) as compared to free-ranging or extensively kept specimens.
2. Similar observations were reported in the literature for Spur-thighed tortoises (*Testudo graeca*), Galapagos giant tortoises (*Geochelone nigra*), and can also be made when comparing literature data on the growth of free-ranging and captive Desert tortoises (*Gopherus agassizi*).
3. Literature reports on the influence of feeding on growth in reptiles make an intensive dietary supplementation in captivity the most likely explanation for this effect.
4. Although experimental evidence for a negative effect of fast growth on health of tortoises or reptiles is lacking, these observations suggest that in order to mimick conditions in the wild, feeding regimes in captivity should be restricted.

**Acknowledgements**

This project was carried out as part of the Zoo Research Camp (ZRC) 2008, organized by AWWP and the Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife of the Vetsuisse Faculty, Zurich. The authors thank Sheik Saoud Mohamed Bin Ali Al-Thani for his support of the ZRC, as well as the “Gesellschaft Schweizer Tierärztinnen und Tierärzte” and the “Schweizerische Vereinigung für Wild-, Zoo- und Heimtiermedizin” for additional financial aid and Dr. Sven Hammer, Dr. Amrita Deb and the assistants to the mammal curator at AWWP for helping with measuring the tortoises and answering all our questions, and Prof. Jean-Michel Hatt for comments on the manuscript.

---

**References**

- Aresco MJ, Guyer C. 1999. Growth of the tortoise *Gopherus polyphemus* in slash pine plantations of southcentral Alabama. *Herpetol* 55: 499-506.
- Baer DJ, Oftedal OT, Rumpler WV, Ullrey DE. 1997. Dietary fiber influences nutrient utilization, growth and dry matter intake of green iguanas (*Iguana iguana*). *J Nutr* 127: 1501-1507
- Bell T. 1827. Descriptions of three new species of land tortoises. *Zool J* 3: 419-421
- Brown TK, Nagy KA, Morafka DJ. 2005. Costs of growth in tortoises. *J Herpetol* 39: 19-23
- Donoghue S. 1994. Growth of juvenile green iguanas (*Iguana iguana*) fed four diets. *J Nutr* 124: 2626S-2629S
- Donoghue S. 2006. Nutrition: Nutrient disorders: Obesity. In: Mader DR, editor. *Reptile medicine and surgery*. Second edition. St. Louis, Missouri: Saunders Elsevier. p 282-283
- Donoghue S, Vidal J, Kronfeld D. 1998. Growth and morphometrics of green iguanas (*Iguana iguana*) fed four levels of dietary protein. *J Nutr* 128: 2587S-2589S
- Fledelius B, Jorgensen GW, Jensen HE, Brimer L. 2005. Influence of the calcium content of the diet offered to leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*). *Vet Rec* 156: 831-835
- Furrer SC, Hatt JM, Snell H, Marquez C, Honegger RE, Rübel A. 2004. Comparative study on the growth of juvenile galapagos giant tortoises (*Geochelone nigra*) at the Charles Darwin Research Station (Galapagos Islands, Ecuador) and Zoo Zurich (Zurich, Switzerland). *Zoo Biol* 23: 177-183
- Germano DJ. 1992. Longevity and age-size relationships of populations of desert tortoises. *Copeia* 2: 367-374
- Germano DJ. 1994. Growth and age maturity of North American tortoises in relation to regional climates. *Can J Zool* 72: 918-931

- 
- 228 Häfeli W, Schildger BJ. 1995. Reptilien. In: Göldenboth R, Klös HG, editors. Krankheiten der  
229 Zoo- und Wildtiere. Berlin, Germany: Blackwell Wissenschafts-Verlag. p 530-554
- 230 Hailey A, Coulson IM. 1999. The growth pattern of the African tortoise *Geochelone pardalis*  
231 and other chelonians. Can J Zool 77: 181-193
- 232 Hailey A, Lambert MRK. 2002. Comparative growth patterns in afrotropical giant tortoises  
233 (Reptilia Testudinae). Tropical Zool 15: 121-139
- 234 Hatt JM. 2008. Raising giant tortoises. In: Fowler ME, Miller RE, editors. Zoo and wild  
235 animal medicine current therapy 6. St. Louis, Missouri: Saunders Elsevier. p 144-153
- 236 Huang CH, Lin WY, Wu SM. 2003. Effect of dietary calcium and phosphorus  
237 supplementation in fish meal-based diets on the growth of soft-shelled turtle  
238 (*Pelodiscus sinensis*). Aquaculture Res 34: 843-848
- 239 Iverson JB. 1992. A revised checklist with distribution maps of the turtles of the world.  
240 Richmond, Indiana: Privately published. p 363
- 241 Jacobson ER. 1994. Causes of mortality and diseases in tortoises: a review. J Zoo Wildl Med  
242 25: 2-17
- 243 Jackson CG Jr, Trotter JA, Trotter TH, Trotter MW. 1976. Accelerated growth rate and early  
244 maturity in *Gopherus agassizi* (Reptilia: Testudines). Herpetologica 32: 139-145
- 245 Jackson CG Jr, Trotter JA, Trotter TH, Trotter MW. 1978. Further observations of the growth  
246 and sexual maturity in captive desert tortoises (Reptilia: Testudines). Herpetologica  
247 34 (2): 225-227
- 248 Kabigumila J. 2000. Growth and carapacial colour variation of the leopard tortoise, *Geochelone*  
249 *pardalis babcocki*, in northern Tanzania. Afr J Ecol 38: 217-223
- 250 Kabigumila J. 2001. Size composition and sex ratio of the leopard tortoise (*Geochelone*  
251 *pardalis*) in northern Tanzania. Afr J Ecol 39: 393-395
- 252 Lambert MRK. 1995. On geographical size variation, growth and sexual dimorphism of the  
253 leopard tortoise, *Geochelone pardalis*, in Somaliland. Chel Cons Biol 1: 269-278

- 
- 254 Lambert MRK, Campbell KLI, Kabigumila JD. 1998. On the growth and morphometrics of  
255 leopard tortoise, *Geochelone pardalis*, in Serengeti National Park, Tanzania, with  
256 observations on effects of bushfires and latitudinal variation in populations of  
257 Eastern Africa. Chel Cons Biol 3: 46-57
- 258 Lapid RH, Nir I, Robinzon B. 2005. Growth and body composition in captive *Testudo graeca*  
259 *terrestris* fed with a high-energy diet. Appl Herpetol 2: 201-209
- 260 Madsen T, Shine R. 2000. Silver spoons and snake body sizes: prey availability early in life  
261 influences long-term growth rates of free-ranging pythons. J Anim Ecol 69: 952-958
- 262 Mason MC, Kerley GIH, Weatherby CA, Branch WR. 2000. Angulate and leopard tortoises  
263 in the thicket biome, eastern cape, South Africa: populations and biomass estimates.  
264 Afr J Ecol 38: 147-153
- 265 McArthur S. 2004a. Anatomy and physiology: Shell and skeleton. In: McArthur S, Wilkinson  
266 R, Meyer J, editors. Medicine and surgery of tortoises and turtles. Oxford, UK:  
267 Blackwell Publishing Ltd. p 35-36
- 268 McArthur S. 2004b. Anatomy and Physiology: Shell and Skeleton. In: McArthur S, Wilkinson  
269 R, Meyer J, editors. Medicine and surgery of tortoises and turtles. Oxford, UK:  
270 Blackwell Publishing Ltd. p 35-36
- 271 McArthur S, Barrows M. 2004. Interpretation of presenting signs. In: McArthur S, Wilkinson  
272 R, Meyer J, editors. Medicine and surgery of tortoises and turtles. Oxford, UK:  
273 Blackwell Publishing Ltd. p 273-300
- 274 Miller L. 1932. Notes on the desert tortoise (*Testudo agassizii*). Trans San Diego Soc Nat Hist  
275 7: 187-208
- 276 Miller L. 1955. Further observations on the desert tortoise, *Gopherus agassizi*, of California.  
277 Copeia: 113-118
- 278 Patterson R, Brattstrom B. 1972. Growth in captive *Gopherus agassizi*. Herpetologica 28:  
279 169-171

- 
- 280 Rall M. 1988. Observations on the growth of the leopard tortoise *Geochelone pardalis* in  
281 captivity. J Herpetol Assoc Afr 35: 7-8
- 282 Rich CN, Talent LG. 2008. The effects of prey species on food conversion efficiency and  
283 growth of an insectivorous lizard. Zoo Biol 27: 181-187
- 284 Statoh MA, Edwards HM, Brisbin IL, Joanen T, McNease L. 1990. Protein and energy  
285 relationships in the diet of the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). J Nutr  
286 120: 775-785
- 287 Wilson VJ. 1968. The leopard tortoise, *Testudo pardalis babcocki*, in eastern Zambia.  
288 Arnoldia (Rhodesia) 3: 1-11




Figure 1. The allometric relationship between (a) total length and plastron length from the Leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*) at AWWP (data points and solid line) compared to literature (Lambert 1995, interrupted line,  $y = 0.88x - 0.17$ ), (b) plastron length and height from the Leopard tortoises at AWWP (data points and solid line) compared to the data from Lambert et al. (1998, interrupted line,  $y = 0.66x + 0.17$ ), (c) weight and plastron length from the Leopard tortoises at AWWP compared to the data from Wilson (1968, interrupted line,  $y = 1.45x^{0.35}$ ) and (d) weight and carapace length from the Leopard tortoises at AWWP compared to literature (Wilson 1968, interrupted line,  $y = 2.31x^{0.34}$  and Rall 1988, shortly interrupted line,  $y = 1.97x^{0.35}$ ).




Figure 2. Data showing (a) the weight development from the Leopard tortoises (*Geochelone pardalis*) at AWWP separated by birth years (2002 grey circles, 2003 black circles, 2004 grey triangles, 2005 black triangles, 2006 rhombs) compared to literature (Wilson 1968, open circles) and (b) the growth of the total length from the Leopard tortoises at AWWP (grey circles) compared to literature (Hailey and Coulson 1999, triangles; Hailey and Lambert 2002, rhombs).






Figure 3. Comparison of the different development of intensive and extensive nourished land tortoise species.

(a) Age to total length of Desert tortoises (*Gopherus agassizi*) fed intensive (points, Jackson et al. 1976 and 1978) and free-ranging (triangles, Germano 1992; rhombs, Germano 1994)

- 
- 318 or with a rather extensive feeding (squares, Miller 1932 and 1955; circles, Patterson and  
319 Brattstrom 1972)
- 320 (b) Age to weight of captive Spur-thighed tortoises (*Testudo graeca*) fed with a high-energy  
321 diet (points) and free-ranging animals (circles) in Israel by Lapid et al. (2005).
- 322 (c) Age to weight of the Galapagos giant tortoises (*Geochelone nigra*) at the Zurich Zoo  
323 (points) and on Galapagos (circles), Furrer et al. (2004).  
324